





(Shaped Antenna measurement of the background RAdio Spectrum)

Nipanjana Patra PhD student, RRI . JAP, IISc .

Thesis adviser: Dr. Ravi Subrahmanyan . ATNF Co-adviser: Dr. Ron Ekers .



EoR Global Signal Workshop, CAASTRO Sydney 19th October 2012

Team "SARAS"

- Nipanjana Patra. (RRI, IISc)
- Ravi Subrahmanyan. (RRI)
- A. Raghunathan. (RRI)
- Ron Ekers. (ATNF, CSIRO)
- N. Udaya Shankar. (RRI)



Plan of the talk.

- System specifications.
- System configurations.
- Data acquisition strategy.
- Understanding SARAS data set.
- Observing strategies.
- Algorithms for interference rejection.
- Analysis.

SARAS: system specification

A correlation spectrometer with a single antenna.

- Frequency range: 87.5 to 175 MHz. (Presently operating between 110 to 175 MHz.)
- Redshift range z=15.1 to 7.1.
- Number of frequency channel 1024.
- Sampling rate 175 Mhz.
- Spectra are recorded every 0.7 sec.

System configuration part 1: The Antenna



Antenna : A frequency independent (fat) Shaped Dipole antenna, Raghunathan et al. 2012,(Submitted to IEEE)

System configuration part 1: The Antenna.



Measured Radiation Pattern, Raghunathan et al. 2012

System configuration part 1: The Antenna.



Measured Radiation Pattern, Raghunathan et al. 2012

System configuration part 1: The Antenna.

We will discuss more on return loss during analysis

Measured Return Loss

System configuration part 2: The Receiver.

SARAS data action strattery ::

| Switch State | Cal Noise State | Ref Noise State |
|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |

$$= (g_{\Sigma 1}g_{\Sigma 2} + g_{\Delta 1}g_{\Delta 2})(T_a - T_{ref0}),$$

$$P_{cal} = P_{1cal} - P_{0cal}$$

= $(g_{\Sigma 1}g_{\Sigma 2} + g_{\Delta 1}g_{\Delta 2})(T_a + T_{cal} - T_{ref0})$

$$P_{cal} - P_{off} = (g_{\Sigma 1}g_{\Sigma 2} + g_{\Delta 1}g_{\Delta 2})T_{cal}.$$

$$T_a - T_{ref0} = \frac{P_{off}}{(P_{cal} - P_{off})} \times T_{cal}.$$

Non-idealities in the SARAS system.

Understanding the SARAS Data set.

One calibrated spectrum is,

Understanding the SARAS Data set.

One calibrated spectrum is,

$$T'_{a0} = T_a - \frac{C_2}{C_1} T_{ref0} + \frac{C_{n1}}{C_1} T_{n1} + \frac{C_{n2}}{C_1} T_{n2}$$
$$T'_{a1} = T_a - \frac{C_2}{C_1} T_{ref1} + \frac{C_{n1}}{C_1} T_{n1} + \frac{C_{n2}}{C_1} T_{n2},$$

Difference Spectrum 1 : $T_{a0}' - T_{a1}' = \frac{C_2}{C_1}(T_{ref1} - T_{ref0}).$

Difference Spectrum 2: $T'_{ah} - T'_{ac} = T_{ah} - T_{ac} = G_a(T_{hot \ sky} - T_{cold \ sky}).$

SARAS test data confirming the system performance.

Real

Imag

Foundation of our data analysis lies on developing a method where based on the knowledge of the imaginary part of the measured cross-power and also the derived data product, we can model the systematic effects in the real part which contains the sky.

Observing Strategies.

For

Two modes of observation :

Mode 1: Long delay mode. Cosmic Radio Background : CRB

Mode 2: Shortest delay mode. For Epoch of Reionization : EoR

Observing Strategies.

Mode 1 : Maximize the delay between the reflected component and the direct component to have a few cycles of the ripple. Establish the analysis pipeline using all the measured and the derived spectrum and derive the prior on the sky foreground parameters. Do a joint modeling for the sky foreground and the reflections. Don't care about EoR !!

Observing Strategies.

Mode 2 : Minimize the delay between the reflected component and the direct component so as to have just a fraction of the ripple in the measured data. Using the prior from the CRB measurement for the sky model and do a joint modeling for the sky foreground and the reflections. Now go for EoR!

Mode 1 data showing interference condition at Gouribidanur

RR, 7=1.1 min, Bl=1-2, T=13:30:41

RR, v=1.1 min, Bl=1-2, T=13:35:43

RR, 7=0.2 min, Bl=1-2, T=13:48:40

Frequency (GHz)

Frequency (GHz)

Frequency (GHz)

Mode 2 data after interference rejection.

A Question!

SARAS Analysis : SARAS parameter space

- Sky : Tsky, EoR.
- Complex reflection coefficients : Gamma_a, Gamma1, Gamma2.
- Antenna and the power splitter gain Ga, g.
- Round trip delay : Phi.
- Receiver noise fraction : f1, f2.

SARAS Analysis : parameter space : Sky model

$$\log_{10} T = a_0 + a_1 (\log_{10} f) + a_2 (\log_{10} f)^2 + a_3 (\log_{10} f)^3$$

Number of EoR parameters will depend on the model of reionization. From the simplest model: a minimum of 2.

140

Analysis : parameter space : Reflection Coefficients

ig. 5 Reflection coefficients of the two LNAs Agnitude) Fig. 6 Reflection coefficients of the two LNAs (Phase)

SARAS Analysis : parameter space : Antenna Return loss

If using the measurements, then filter out the high frequency components

SARAS Analysis : parameter space : All others

 Antenna gain Ga : A third order polynomial(simulations.)
High hopes for antenna gain calibration using nanosecond pulse injection!

f1, f2, phi, g : 1 parameter each, and frequency independent. So total 4.

All that SARAS have in store now,

- parameter space as large as 15 to 20 dimensions. Possibly minimum amongst all the ongoing experiments.
- 5 measurement equations, 2 having sky, rest having systematics.
- 12 hrs observation => 10000 calibrated spectra.
- 84 hrs observation in CRB mode.
- 84 Hrs observation is EoR mode.

And some really high hopes...

Reference:

SARAS : a precession system for measurement of EoR signatures in the spectrum of the Cosmic Badio Background. Patra et al. 2012, Submitted to Experimental Astronomy.

